PCSI Costing Workshop 28th May, Slovenia

An English tale

The Questions ...

- 1. The approach taken to implementing costing (sample of hospitals or all hospitals, scope of collection, extent of top-down versus patient level?)
- 2. How to engage hospitals and persuade them of the benefits in collecting and using the data for themselves
- 3. What does good look like in relation to data quality? Focus especially on the balance between patient level and cost allocation methods
- 4. Key factors that helped and hindered the collection process
- 5. Data quality and how long it took or expect to take to improve
- 6. How the benefits of the data were realised (especially for providers

Implementation, Engagement, Persuasion and Benefits

Approach taken to implementing costing

- Government mandate to publish the average cost of health care (1996)
- Covered all acute secondary care from all NHS providers (started with 3 areas for 1 year in 1997/98, then all from 1998) - no payment, no samples
- All costs were aggregate at HRG-level - most top down initially but bottom up over time with attribution, allocation and apportionment focus

How to engage hospitals?

- Explain what you're doing and why, and when - and stick to it
- Publish their data every clinician is competitive! Hospitals want to benchmark within services and sites, and between providers. You publish, they use
- Use case studies to demonstrate how patient care can be improved by understanding resources
- Highlight how effective costs can be for business planning and service redesign
- A mandate helps

"Good" Data Quality: Key Factors that Helped...and Hindered

What does good look like?

- Start simple with the average person rule. Are there negative costs? Is anything unbelievable? Use basics to understand what is impossible high costs are trickier
- Set some prior expectations of what you do not expect (see above). If possible, flag accepting they might not be incorrect
- Patient-level information can be harder to assess as everyone is different - averages are much easier to assess - and

Key factors that helped, and didn't

- Did I mention the mandate?
- Working with providers to understand what guidance works / doesn't. They're trying to produce the information you require- understand what's useful "on the ground". Don't ask for impossible detail that you don't need, just because
- Listen to the people that don't like it as much as those that
- Give people guidance that helps them think about the principles

More Data Quality, and Benefits Realisation

Data quality and how long it took

- It all depends on what good looks like! Think about your parameters for "good"
- Data quality is never perfect it evolves over time and gets better and then worse. The key is to make people want it to get better again
- Publication is key, for benchmarking, comparison, understanding variation in clinical practice, and improving data quality
- Stop, breathe and assess what you have before you change anything...

Benefit realisation...for whom?

- The Reference Costs became the first national tariff under Payment by Results (i.e. for activity) a massive driver to improve data quality, and fund healthcare providers for what they undertook
- Anecdotally the use of costs locally changed clinical practice - benchmarking, service planning and redesign, expansion and business cases, etc
- But how to measure? Identify upfront and monitor?

Top tips and other fun stuff

- Understand what you need and why remember the point of costing which is not to cost - and that costing incurs costs! Aggregates are your friend -too much detail hurts the head (and can be so vast it can't be used effectively, without aggregating again, especially for publication)
- Start incrementally in terms of scope and stick to a timetable
- Point out the benefits of understanding the resource uses of care locally (ultimately to maximise efficiency, improve clinical practice, and provide more care!)
- Involve the right people. Costing is not a pure finance exercise it needs informatics, coders and clinicians too
- Collect data from all providers of the services you care about. Don't change a
 thing they submit they own the data, they submitted it, it's theirs only they
 can improve the quality of the data they send. Make sure a senior person in
 the provider signs it off if you can
- Sometimes faster is just faster...why do you need it again?

Hvala za poslušanje :)